

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,860	JIA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Burd	2611	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M. Burd.

(2) Nthony Josephson.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

102 of claim 16

Claims discussed:


claim 16

Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


KEVIN BURD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The appeal brief was persuasive for claims 1-15 and 31-36. The rejections of these claims were withdrawn. However, a number of issues regarding claim 16 were discussed. An examiner's amendment was agreed upon to overcome the issues with claim 16. Those issues are stated in the office action.